By HELEN GIDDINGS

Gould, Mr. and Mrs. King, and Mrs. John K. Woodman, and Mrs. Mr. Sutoman, and Mrs. Edward Nightingale, Mr. Mrs. Edward Sintz, Mr. and Paul Anderson. Mrs. and Anderson. Which is the second of the party the Nightless entertained the group at sketail hour at their home on

omfort drive.

Mr. and Mrs. George B. Hasett of Putnam drive entertained
group of friends on New Year's

ter Cyndy, and Alvin Rockey,

Back to College
Clayton Joyce and Robert Jo
Jr. have returned to college
sex. Clayton attends Washin
and Jefferson college, Washin
Pa., and Robert is a studen
Michigan State.

Visit a Dairy



AND THE ANGELS SING

What Can The People Believe?

When the anti-trust lawyers in Washington filed their suit to put the A&P out of business, they immediately handed out for all the newspapers of the United States a story giving in detail their "allegations" against this company.

When we published advertisements giving our side of the case, they protested, even though they had made, and have continued to make, in newspapers, in speeches and over the radio these charges that would seriously damage our business, if they were believed by the public.

sewives patronize A&P stores. Many of them would not want to deal with Every week millions of American hou the kind of people that the anti-trust lawyers represent us to be.

We think we have a right to protect this 90-year old business which has made it possible for millions of American families to get more and better food for their money, which is providing high-wage employment for 110,000 Ameri-cans and which is helping millions of farmers to improve the methods of distributing their produce.

No answer by us would be necessary if the anti-trust lawyers were always right.

But they, like all other human beings, can be wrong.

In this case we know they are wrong.

They have been wrong before.

In case after case they made charges against A&P which were proved in court to be utterly without foundation

We will prove that statement right up to the hilt.

The anti-trust lawyers tell the public that they won a previous anti-trust suit against us at Danville, Illinois, They did.

What they do not tell you is that they brought case after case against the A&P in federal courts all over the United States. Before they won this case they suffered three defeats.

The anti-trust lawyers have told everybody about the time that the courts said they were right. We think you are entitled to know about the three times the courts said they were wrong.

Now we are going to tell you about the first one. In future advertisements we will tell you about all of them.

The Washington Bread Case

In April, 1941, the anti-trust lawyers brought a criminal suit in Washington, D. C.

They charged that the A&P, two grocery chain competitors, two labor unions and other good American citizens had conspired to fix the price of bread.

Can anyone imagine any charge calculated to be more damaging to a retail grocery business? They asked millions of people to believe that we were the kind of grocers who would take bread out of the mouths of poor people and make it harder for a wife and mother to feed her family.

These charges were false.

In that case it developed that the A&P and the two competitors who were charged with conspiring with us to maintain high bread prices actually sold bread cheaper than most of the other stores in Washington

The anti-trust lawyers presented and argued their case. When they were through, Federal District Judge Allen T. Goldsborough ruled that A&P and the other defendants did not even need to put in a defense. He instructed the jury to bring in a verdict of "not guilty."

Judge Goldsborough said to the anti-trust lawyers:

"If you were to show this record to any experienced trial lawyer in the world, he would tell you that there was not any evidence at all.

"Honestly, I have never in my over forty years' experience seen tried a case that was as absolutely devoid of evidence as this. That is the honest truth. I have never seen one like it."

So here was a case in which the anti-trust lawvers made seriously damaging charges against the A&P, in support of which, in the words of the court, they did not have "any evidence at all."

This was not the only time the anti-trust lawyers made charges against the A&P which the courts said were not true. In future ads we are going to tell you about these other suits. We are not going to duck, either. We are going to tell you about the criminal suit that the anti-trust lawyers won at Danville, Illinois,

The anti-trust lawyers say that they are not attacking "bigness" or efficiency, They have to say that because the courts have decided that "bigness" and efficiency and selling at low prices is not a crime.

But the fact is crystal clear that they only brought their bread suit against the big companies and against the companies that sold good bread at the lowest prices; just as in this current suit they are attacking a big company that sells good food cheap.

We are going to show the American people that the suit to destroy A&P is really a suit against efficiency and against real competition.

The real question involved in this suit is whether businessmen are going to be encouraged to do a better and more efficient job; or whether we are going to let the anti-trust lawyers in Washington blow the whistle on anybody who gets big by giving the people more for their money.

No one can make us believe that it is a crime to try to sell the best quality food at the lowest possible price.

Faller Deservice Vilenished Advior Best Results



PACIFIC TEA COMPANY