

PEOPLE'S COLUMN

The Eccentric is pleased to receive communications for this column. All communications must be signed, but signatures will be kept confidential upon request. Letters must be limited to 500 words, and must be in the office by Tuesday noon for publication the following Thursday.

My Dear Mr. Millington-- I have been a reader of THE ECCENTRIC for many years and have always scanned your column with interest. Before I get under way, I should like to say that your viewpoint has always been a thorn in my side. For a man that sets forth his convictions in public print, you seem never to present both sides of the situation. You look at everything from the standpoint of capital, the capitalist, or, more likely, a Republican. This is merely friendly criticism. I do not mean to be sarcastic, or to be wordily wise in any of the matters that you have discussed. I have meant to share with you for some time, but up until now have not had the time or the ambition to do so.

at the COOKING SCHOOL HELLMANN'S Double-Whipped MAYONNAISE

see Bess Wright use Hellmann's Mayonnaise in many new & tasty recipes FOR SALE AT YOUR GROCER

used and recommended by the Homakers Institute of Domestic Science Detroit

LA SALLE WINES during the Cooking School April 6-7-8

See Bess Wright use La Salle Wines in her favorite recipes For Sale at Grocery and Drug Stores

the smart hostess never takes chances with her pies She Uses SIMPLE SIMON prepared PIE CRUST. made with pure vegetable shortening see it at the COOKING SCHOOL FOR SALE AT YOUR GROCER made by SIMPLE SIMON FOODS Detroit

seizing of property, such as the strike. There is no doubt that the question that the right of private property has been infringed upon. So I do not wish to place myself on the side of labor definitely, but for the sake of argument I would like to ask you a few questions.

My first question is, "What would you do if you were a laborer?" And also I would like to know what you would do if you were a capitalist. If a man's arm has been injured with dangerous tools, and the doctor says that the only thing to do is to cut it off, and you are the doctor, and you are the patient, is the best remedy of the situation known to science and art? I know no better way than I have absolutely no right to pass judgment on the matter. Yet you do not let the doctor to the patient that labor faces and you condemn the laborers for using the tools of their trade. The doctor's duty is to save the patient, and you do not let the doctor to the patient that labor faces and you condemn the laborers for using the tools of their trade.

But let us assume that labor does not have the right to own capital--then how does the picture look? Can anyone justify a strike under these conditions? Does labor possess a right better than that of property in capital? How, again, is the right taken out of my hands for the problem of rights is to be left to philosophers and metaphysicians, not to the economist or the political commentator (and all of them are equally wrong) at the present of the latter two. In the first place, do either you or I have the right to take away the right for a man to own property? If you say he can own property, your argument is saying that labor in the country gives property rights to men, then that is what I want you to say. Because I feel that the present labor problem is much deeper than "law" or "legality." We can favorably expect men from plants. There may be a few killed on both sides, but we can lay up to the limit and let the law and throw them out, but will this solve the problem? I think that any rational thinker would only make the situation worse. It is labor that is disintegrated and capitalistic force will only make their grievances more bitter. No, the problem is deeper than this and cannot be solved by the law. The law says such and such and therefore this is right and that is wrong.

Now, you might ask, how do I justify a sit down strike? I discuss the legality of matters from my mind, for I am convinced, as I pointed out above, that the matter is bigger than law and politics. I am not convinced that sit-down strikes are the best method to be used by labor in gaining its demands. I am not sure that the end justifies the means. Under our present capitalistic setup, however, I say that labor has the right to use this method of obtaining its demands, and I am not offering it as a weapon to use. The time has come, and I think that you will agree with me, whereby the labor problem has to be given much more consideration than it has in the past. Without necessarily sitting with labor, let me point out why I think that future legislation should give the laborer a right to break. Let me put it this way--take the huge organization of A. & T. with its many thousands of stockholders (by the way, you might list me just what actual property rights these "owners" have in an industry of this size). These stockholders do not deal with the labor problem individually. Instead they "annoint" they elect men to represent them and these men in turn deal with labor. You do not consent capital for organizing, but do not look favorably on the side of labor. The laborer, who is less intelligent and less competent, due to his position in economic society, to speak for himself, should not be blamed for organizing and hiring men such as Lewis to do the talking for them. Besides, isn't just what the stockholders do? I have not seen your column a condemnation of the General Motors Corp. for employing men to act as spies on the laborer, as fact was. I think was clearly revealed at the recent senate investigation hearing on labor problems. Were not men of the Pinkerton Detective Agency employed to do this "spying" and was it not shown that these men, many of them, anyway, had criminal records as long as your arm? What about this, Mr. Millington? Did labor ever pull a shady trick like this?

But to get back to the sit-down strike and my justification of them--In the past walk-out strikes have not proved effective for several reasons. Kallenborn gives four reasons for the effectiveness of the sit-down in an article in the latest "Commentator." If there is no other way for labor to gain its demands, then what would you do?

I presume that you will rest have social and rights implications. If man had no tools or other property there would be no need for government. But the primary endowment by which man is superior to the animal is that he has the intelligence to create, appropriate, and defend his property, while entering the same right to create. What if the defense forces would at most be limited, like those of the higher animals, to the use of force? To receive from this conclusion as to man's relation to property, we are to return to the law of the jungle.

What would I do if I were Governor of Michigan? I would resign if the strikers had not had reason to believe that they would be given an immunity in return for their actions, favoring, what repeated favors have been denied them, would not have been given. That is the local option.

A resolute determination of the President to do everything I did the Chicago railroad strike. Your inference that labor in labor is not a result of the system is utterly unwarranted. Public libraries and night schools are available to the Government. If you have above capacities, if you will allow a personal reference, I have been identified with the death of my parent. In the age of 24 when I was a student of accident, I was in the meantime I had acquired two modern languages and had taken leading positions in which was not English. Through night school, and personal private study I had acquired a first grade education. Have you noticed what most of the young road workers do? How much I have spent at manual labor. I have since then owned factories, had taken leading positions in large corporations. My job has been a box of straw in the market. I have owned a \$100,000 company.

My knowledge of life is both practical and academic. So again hold a diploma in economics, whatever that means. I mention these personal matters merely to show you that I have been in touch with and never have lost my touch with the problem of labor and that one can rise above the state of manual labor. Your reference to the "laborer" and "more economic" is, to say the least, not quite fitting in one who professes and claims to be a high moral desiring quality of impartiality.

Your facing the problem of labor out of the realistic into the metaphysics is a species of reasoning sometimes called idealism. It is like the cat in the tree top during the clumsy dog on the ground. I can never follow you there. What would I do?

I would take the "social welfare complex" out of politics and return it to local responsibility where it belongs. I would take metaphysics out of politics, I would in politics, emphasize to be as realistic as life. I believe in well paid labor, not as a matter of religious conviction, but as a matter of good business, but I do not believe in replenishing out of the public treasury the substance wasted by the lazy and improvident. I would call help extended to the needy by the right name, "charity." Although it is now unconstitutional, I believe that an amendment to the Constitution might properly deny the right to vote to all who receive public money or who are dependents. That would prevent building a political machine with public money.

Finally, I am a capitalist and I believe in the right of private property. As a capitalist and I believe in the right of private property. As a capitalist and I believe in the right of private property.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

favor the capitalist because every man who has the ability to save a single dollar and who either puts it in the bank for others to use in enterprise employing labor or himself starts a capitalist. He is a "saver" which are called stored labor which when united aggregate the great aggregations of capital in the country. General Motors has about 100,000 shares of stock which by far the greatest number are small investors. Therefore, I favor the man who saves the most money for himself by supplying the capital which employs his labor by the great amount of money which he has saved.

I do not say that the man who saves a single dollar and who either puts it in the bank for others to use in enterprise employing labor or himself starts a capitalist. He is a "saver" which are called stored labor which when united aggregate the great aggregations of capital in the country.

What would I do if I were Governor of Michigan? I would resign if the strikers had not had reason to believe that they would be given an immunity in return for their actions, favoring, what repeated favors have been denied them, would not have been given. That is the local option.

A resolute determination of the President to do everything I did the Chicago railroad strike. Your inference that labor in labor is not a result of the system is utterly unwarranted. Public libraries and night schools are available to the Government.

My knowledge of life is both practical and academic. So again hold a diploma in economics, whatever that means. I mention these personal matters merely to show you that I have been in touch with and never have lost my touch with the problem of labor and that one can rise above the state of manual labor.

Your facing the problem of labor out of the realistic into the metaphysics is a species of reasoning sometimes called idealism. It is like the cat in the tree top during the clumsy dog on the ground. I can never follow you there. What would I do?

I would take the "social welfare complex" out of politics and return it to local responsibility where it belongs. I would take metaphysics out of politics, I would in politics, emphasize to be as realistic as life. I believe in well paid labor, not as a matter of religious conviction, but as a matter of good business, but I do not believe in replenishing out of the public treasury the substance wasted by the lazy and improvident.

Finally, I am a capitalist and I believe in the right of private property. As a capitalist and I believe in the right of private property. As a capitalist and I believe in the right of private property.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

STATE OF MICHIGAN, In Probate Court for the County of Oakland. A. H. HILLMAN, Administrator of the Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased, vs. The Estate of H. H. Hillman, deceased. Docket No. 1000.

persons--by they President and (whenever he may be) democratic Government and citizen Government--have found themselves in consistent government, on all the multi-whims and ambitions and circumstances by which he has not even those tributes toward problems of the matters the proper amount of day in the light of the above stated truths.

When a large enough percentage of the people stop thinking independently and give their minds to a political leader, they are an Eccentric Classified All

Get acquainted with this new wonder metal that cooks better, permanently retains its gleaming luster, won't stain, rust or darken, cleans easier, lasts longer and safeguards your health. Try it in your own kitchen--it's the best demonstration you can make of the economy and value of this beautiful cooking ware.



SEE IT TODAY AT CARLTON STAINLESS STEEL UTENSILS ARE BEING USED EXCLUSIVELY AT THE COOKING SCHOOL.

SOLD EXCLUSIVELY IN BIRMINGHAM BY McBride Hardware WOODWARD NEAR MAPLE

You are Cordially Invited to Attend a Fashion Preview

at the Community House BIRMINGHAM

Presented by the D.J. Healy SHOPS

APRIL 6-7

The D. J. Healy Shops co-operating in the Cooking School with presentation on this Fashion Preview are the newest spring fashions for the women desiring the latest, yet at prices that mean economy.

Presented by the D.J. Healy SHOPS

APRIL 6-7

The D. J. Healy Shops co-operating in the Cooking School with presentation on this Fashion Preview are the newest spring fashions for the women desiring the latest, yet at prices that mean economy.

APRIL 6-7

The D. J. Healy Shops co-operating in the Cooking School with presentation on this Fashion Preview are the newest spring fashions for the women desiring the latest, yet at prices that mean economy.