

## PEOPLE'S COLUMN

The Eccentric is pleased to receive contributions from our readers. All communications for this column will be kept confidential. Contributions must be limited to 500 words, and must be submitted by Tuesday noon for publication.

## TAX BILLS

Editor: I have your paid? Have you your interest and penalties paid? Then you should avoid it to the unjust, unsound legislation now hanging in Lansing on tax delinquency.

1st: The Bellows Bill—which provides for cancellation of interests and penalties for 1932 if paid prior to July 1, 1933. How does this strike you people who have already paid interest and penalties this year? No provision for the amount of time on delinquent taxes.

2nd: The Moore Bill—which cancels 1927 and prior delinquent taxes on all interests and penalties.

3rd: The McKenna-Munich Bill—which takes it far grandfathered the Moore Bill and also assumes that the delinquent will NOT pay their taxes during the next 12 years. So this Bill provides that all delinquent taxes

still unpaid in 12 years can be highjacked and collected "interest." This does not apply to HOMES, but to all property. If a big landowner wants to buy back his property in 1933, he can't do it anyway, because no one else can buy it from the state.

4th: The Holbeck Bill is a high improvement on the Moore Bill, but it is not ideal. It seems that the 1927 and prior taxes are still to be cancelled, just for good measure.

5th: The \$15,000,000 bond issue bill to cover DELINQUENT TAXES. This is evidently the assurance given us that these taxes might be paid. But it is a direct invitation to the banks to collect from us, because the bonds and interest will be paid by the real taxpayers anyway. Any way you look at it, this is it. Just another stroke to the taxpayers to hear. This bill has already romped through the House without trouble.

No consideration has been given to the real taxpayers. No refunds or rebates are provided for us. The first bill on delinquent taxes was the Smithworth which Congressmen were responsible, and which the Governor approved. But he changed his mind later to the Moore Bill. The Supreme Court has ruled that the Moore Bill is unconstitutional, but later reversed its decision. There is a great deal of uncertainty of mind at Lansing. We can't help wondering sometimes if there are any minds there at all.

Any small home owner who has not paid his taxes since 1929 has lost his home. It is gone. Lost. And none of these various bills restore it to him. Yet the people behind these bills see nothing but helping the little property holder?

But if there are still some home owners who are now in danger of losing their homes, let's help them all we can. Just as we did for those who have lost their homes about to lose their homes. But that does not mean that we must dig down to help Big Business, either.

We have all given till it hurts. We want to be charitable but we prefer to close our own charities. Why should the real taxpayers take on additional burdens to help others? We have been about to lose our homes and all these other big delinquents. What have these branches of Big Business done for us?

Nothing. In fact it is such work as theirs which has brought most of our present troubles upon us. If the State of Michigan had not raised the amount of penalties in the last five years, I would gladly donate such sum to any worthy charity or unfortunate

## HIGH PRESSURE PETE



## They Never Thought of That



By GEORGE SWAN

for things desired. No chance too hazardous to take in the pursuit of money profits.

No public extravagance was too great. No price too high.

Continued on Editorial Page

home-loser—but not to Big Business—nor to the real taxpayers.

Paying taxes is a matter of work, economy, scrimping, saving and self-denial. It is hard for all of us middle-class folks. It is not always easy for the rich folk or lucky always. And there are many delinquent taxpayers today with large land holdings who have not even the desire to collect what they owe. But they could demand more sacrifices nothing they would make no sacrifices to pay.

The amount of lobbying in Lansing is a disgrace to the Moore Bill and its companion bills. It is disgusting. Strange how much money the delinquents spend on political influence and legislation to get themselves off the hook. They could afford to pay their taxes. It is just another case of trying to get something for nothing.

Strange still is the fact that the real officials did up a plan to tax the real taxpayers. For years we taxpayers have paid for the politicians, the builders, the speculators, the big apartment houses, banks, trust companies, and the real estate speculators, many of whom have not even the desire to collect what they owe. They have not even the desire to help the real taxpayers. What have these branches of Big Business done for us?

To be sure, we are facing a new era of taxation. But whether it is going to be sales tax or income tax or both, the real taxpayers are footing the bill. It will be quite some time before new tax laws are put into effect and even then they are passed. And when that time comes, the speculators would indeed be nibbling short grass as rations if they were not for the real, present-day tax laws.

You taxpayers—who pay—are you going to pay for your past taxes half a dozen times? Are you going to let all these debts be held over by the banks? By Big Business? Whatever it may be, it gives to one group it must take away from another. For every cent of interest and penalties (and prior taxes) that the state cancels, additional taxation will have to be placed upon something else.

All deficits are made up by the taxpayers who pay. At the present time we realize that the whole country is in a bad bind, bewildered, by the series of calamities that have befallen us from earthquakes to bank failures. But the calamities, while you are crushed and silent, happen to the poor sorts in reeling right along in Lansing—unless you call a halt to it.

I voted against the Moore Bill and its hypocritical associates. Write your senators and congressmen. Write your newspaper friends. Rouse your taxpayers. Rouse yourselves here. Don't let the legislature away your self-respect for the next 12 years.

None of us has been gouged and swindled by the speculators in past years? Suddenly racketeers who took their profits first, and have not paid taxes. Have they ever shown you any respect? Even if you have already lost your homes think of the rest of us, and don't let injustice come to anybody.

We, citizens, are entitled as well as a taxpayer.

Nothing is to be gained by making things worse.

M. L. WOODCOOK DAIRY SPECIALISTS BIRMINGHAM, BLOOMFIELD HILLS PHONE 1527

POLITICAL ADVERTISEMENT

**WE, CITIZENS**

whose names appear below, wish to notify our friends and the voters of the City of Birmingham, that we approve of Floyd S. Buck for Justice of the Peace, in and for the City of Birmingham.

Martha D. Wilson

Blanche L. Navin

Elmer C. Huston

James V. Bayley

Leland J. Bunyan

Thomas D. Lynch

Raymond M. Shock

Frank J. Mulholland

Tros. H. Cobb

Louis M. Randall

Peter D. Aristos, D. C.

Robert Y. Moore

William G. McBride

Clara M. Huston

Darward B. Wilkinson

Howard D. Crull

Mrs. J. L. Thornberry

Victor R. Ogden

Roy Churchill

F. J. Watkins

John E. Wilson

Clare Stewart

D. A. Green

Chas. J. Shain

John H. Rosso

L. J. Slusser

Julius Merz

R. J. Coryell

Wm. G. Olsen

Arthur J. Kane

Otto F. Beier

John McKee

Harry R. Bayley

Geo. P. Raynale

Allen F. McGinn

Alfred J. LaBelle

To the Editor:

What is there about our present banking system that has made possible the debacle we are now in?

Ask Tim or Jack and the answer will be "frozen assets," but that is not the whole story. The system has changed from one day to the next. If it should go into a period of inflation in currency, there would probably be a rush after those very same frozen assets. Financial property would then be regarded as much more stable than currency. It is therefore likely that a bout of currency inflation would do good deal to relieve banks of items that have been considered frozen.

Under normal conditions, the reserves of the banks are used to maintain a fixed relation to deposits, and country banks are allowed to keep a good proportion of their deposits in certain central reserve cities, but as new deposits as new deposits in banks are about equal to withdrawals, this works fine.

But when withdrawals become greater in amount than new deposits, and when this spreads out over a large area, something new must be brought into the picture or else there will be a breakdown of the system, and that is the point we have arrived at.

In order to meet this situation, large sums of money are loaned by small depositors, while others have some very large deposits, any one of which could greatly exceed the bank's drawing power on large sums. One of the guard rails placed by law around savings banks in certain eastern states is an outside limit of

\$100,000 per depositor.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

It is the nature of the

present banking system

that it is not safe to

keep large amounts of

money in one place.

over banks is unfounded. A pro-

gram to encourage banks to give things desired. No chance too

dangerous to take in the pursuit

of money profits.

No price too high.

Continued on Editorial Page

over banks to operate without them

able to stand it. It should be at least able to stand it.

It should be an optional method which the banking commission

can use if it so desires.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer is the admission that the largest depositors

plan is being tried by the

state of Michigan.

One answer