PRESIDENT TO CONGRESS

Message Read to Both Houses of National Assembly

LEGISLATION CALLED FOR

Financial Standing of the Nation Declared Excellent—Control of Corporations, the President Thinks, Should Be Left to the National Government—Labor Leaders Come In for Criticism—Respect for Law Vital to the Well-Being of Country.

Washington.—The message of President Roosevelt was read in both houses of congress Tuesday. In substance the document was as follows:

To the Senate and House of Representatives: The financial standing of the nation at the present time is excellent, and the financial management of the nation's interests by the government during the last seven years has shown the most satisfactory results. But our currency system is imperfect, and it is earnestly to be hoped that the currency commission will be able to propose a thoroughly good system which will do away with the existing defects.

During the period from July 1, 1901, to September 30, 1908, there was an increase in the amount of money in circulation of $962,981,399. The increase in the per capita during this period was $7.06. Within this time there were several occasions when it was necessary for the treasury department to come to the relief of the money market by purchases or redemptions of United States bonds, by increasing deposits in national banks; by stimulating additional issues of national bank notes, and by facilitating importations from abroad of gold. Our imperfect currency system has made these proceedings necessary, and they were effective until the monetary disturbance in the fall of 1907 immensely increased the difficulty of ordinary methods of relief. By the middle of November the available working balance in the treasury had been reduced to approximately $5,000,000. Clearing house associations throughout the country had been obliged to resort to the expedient of issuing clearing house certificates, to be used as money. In this emergency it was determined to issue new subscriptions for $50,000,000 Panama canal bonds, and $100,000,000 three per cent certificates of indebtedness authorized by the act of June 13, 1898. It was proposed to redeposit in the national banks the proceeds of these issues, and to permit their use as a basis for additional circulating notes of national banks. The moral effect of this provision was so great that it was necessary to issue only $24,631,980 of the Panama bonds and $35,436,500 of the certificates of indebtedness.

During the period from July 1, 1901, to September 30, 1908, the balance between the net ordinary receipts and net ordinary disbursements of the government showed a surplus in the four years 1902, 1903, 1906, and 1907, and a deficit in the years 1904, 1905, 1908 and a fractional part of the fiscal year 1909. The net result was a surplus of $89,243,073.54. The financial operations of the government during this period, based upon these differences between receipts and expenditures, resulted in a net reduction of the interest-bearing debt of the United States from $987,141,040 to $897,253,960, notwithstanding that there had been two sales of Panama canal bonds amounting in the aggregate to $54,631,980, and an issue of three per cent certificates of indebtedness under the act of June 13, 1898, amounting to $15,436,500. Refunding operations of the treasury department under the act of March 14, 1900, resulted in the conversion into two per cent. consols of 1930 of $200,309,400 bonds bearing higher rates of interest. A decrease of $8,087,080 in the annual interest charge resulted from these operations.

In short, during the seven years and three months there has been a net surplus of nearly one hundred millions of receipts over expenditures, a reduction of the interest-bearing debt by nine millions, in spite of the extraordinary expense of the Panama canal, and a saving of nearly nine millions on the annual interest charge.

Control of Corporations.

As regards the great corporations engaged in interstate business, and especially the railroads, I can only repeat what I have already said on this subject in my messages to the congress. I believe that under the interstate clause of the constitution the United States has complete and paramount right to control all agencies of interstate commerce, and I believe that the national government alone can exercise that right with wisdom and effectiveness so as both to secure justice from, and to do justice to, the great corporations which are the most important factors in modern business. I believe that it is wise and profitable to attempt to prohibit all combinations as is done by the Sherman anti-trust law, because such a law can be enforced only imperfectly and unequally, and its enforcement works almost as much hardship as good. I strongly advocate that instead of an unwise effort to prohibit all combinations, there shall be substituted a law which shall expressly permit combinations which are in the interest of the public, but shall at the same time give to some agency in the national government full power of control and supervision over them. One of the chief features of this control should be securing entire publicity in all matters which the public has a right to know, and furthermore, the power, not by judicial but by executive action, to prevent or put a stop to every form of improper favoritism or other wrongdoing.

The railways of the country should be put completely under the interstate commerce commission and removed from the domain of the states. Within this field the power of the commission should be made thoroughgoing, so that it could exercise complete supervision over and regulate the issue of securities as well as over the raising and lowering of rates. As regards rates, at least, the law should be summary. Rates must be made as low as is compatible with giving proper returns to all the employers of the railroad, from the highest to the lowest, and proper returns to the shareholders, but they must not, for instance, be produced in such fashion as to necessitate a cut in the wages of railway employes or the abolition of the proper and legitimate profits of honest shareholders.

Telephone and telegraph companies engaged in interstate business should be put under the jurisdiction of the interstate commerce commission.

It is very earnestly to be wished that our people, through their representatives, should act in this matter. It is to the interest of all of us that there should be a premium put upon individual initiative and individual capacity, and an ample reward for the great directing intelligences alone competent to manage the great business operations of to-day. It is well to keep in mind that exactly as the anarchist is the worst enemy of liberty and the success of either type of wrongdoer necessarily invites a violent reaction against the cause the wrongdoer nominally upholds.

Need of Centralization.

The proposal to make the national government supreme over all interstate commerce is merely a proposal to carry out to the letter one of the prime purposes, for which the constitution was founded. It does not represent centralization. It represents merely the acknowledgment of the patent fact that centralization has already come, in business. If this irresponsible outside business power is to be controlled in the interest of the general public it can only be controlled in one way; by giving adequate power of control to the one sovereignty capable of exercising such power—the national government. On the other hand, effort for national control means to abandon the effort for all adequate control and yet to render liberty completely nugatory if action by the legislatures, which cannot achieve the purpose sought for, but which can do a great deal of damage to the corporations, without conferring any real benefit on the public.

Corporations Learning Wisdom.

I believe that the more farsighted corporations are themselves coming to recognize the uselessness of the violent hostility they have displayed during the last few years to regulation and control by the national government of combinations engaged in interstate business. The truth is that we who believe in this movement of asserting and exercising a genuine control, in the public interest, over these great corporations have to contend against two sets of opponents who, though nominally opposed to one another, are really alike in preventing the proper exercise of such control. There are, first, the big corporation men, and also the extreme individualists among business men, who sincerely believe in utterly unregulated business—that is, in the reign of plutocracy; and, second, the men who, from sheer blind to the economic movements of the day, believe in a conservatism and repression rather than of regulation of corporations, and who denounce both the power of the railroads and the assertion of the federal power which alone can really control the railroads. Those who believe in efficient national control, on the other hand, not in the least object to combinations, not in the least object to concentration in business, but they favor both, with the all-important proviso that there shall be such publicity about their workings, and such thoroughgoing control over them, as to insure their being in the interest, and not against the interest, of the people public. We do not object to the concentration of wealth and administration; but we do object to the distribution of that wealth in profits to the real owners, and in securing to the public the full benefit of the concentrated administration. We believe that with concentration in administration there can come both the advantage of a larger economy and the more equitable distribution of profits, and at the same time a better service to the commonwealth. We believe that the administration should be for the benefit of the many and that greed and rascality, practiced on a large scale, should be punished as relentlessly as if practiced on a small scale.

We do not for a moment believe that the problem will be solved by any short and easy method. The solution will come only by pressing various concurrent remedies. Some of these remedies must be outside the domain of federal action. Some must lie outside the domain of the federal government. But there is legislation within the power of congress alone can enact and which is absolutely vital in order to secure the attainment of our purpose in the way we deem best.

There should be regulation by the national government of the great business corporations engaged in interstate business, of account keeping, publicity, supervision of the issue of securities, abolition of rebates and of special privileges. There should be short-time franchises for all corporations engaged in public business, including railroad corporations and those dealing in power from water rights. There should be national as well as state guardianship of mines and oil lands. The combination hereinafter referred to should concurrently be enacted into law.

To accomplish this means a certain increase in the use of—not the creation of—power by the central government. The power already exists. It merely needs to be exercised. The only question is whether it shall be used or left idle—whether it shall be used aright or wrongly. The power ought to be exercised, which the power ought to be exercised will not remain idle. The danger to American institutions lies not in the steps taken for the purpose of securing the concentration of administrative power in responsible and accountable hands. If less be having the power thus concentrated, so that no one can be held responsible for the use made of it. Concentrated power is perfectly legitimate and perfectly desirable. Irresponsible, easily reached, quickly held to account. Democracy is in peril wherever the administration of political power is scattered among a variety of men who work in secret, whose very methods are unknown to the common people. It is not in peril from the concentration of authority from the people who receive it in sight of the people, and who in from time to time render to the people an account of its exercise to the people.

Legislation for Wageworker.

There are many matters affecting labor and the status of the wageworker to which I should like to call attention, but an exhaustive discussion of the problem in all its aspects is not now necessary. I shall refer in a few words, however, or perhaps it would be more accurate to say in steady efforts for many different directions to bring about a condition of affairs under which the men who work with hand or with brain, the laborers, the wageworkers, the men who produce the market and the men who buy in the market for the articles produced, shall one and all be rewarded in that ample measure of the wealth they produce which is their due. Any effort to do this by legislation must be in accordance with the principles of our government, which are based on the theory that the people are the masters of their servants, and not the servants of their servants